To: Cope, Ben[Cope.Ben@epa.gov]; Rueda, Helen[Rueda.Helen@epa.gov]; Owens,

Kim[Owens.Kim@epa.gov] From: Croxton, Dave

**Sent:** Mon 9/30/2013 3:44:35 PM

Subject: FW: prep for Ecology meeting on Pend Oreille

sept 25 2013 ecology mtg prep.docx

Attached is a HQ edit of Ben's write-up of next steps on PendO. Goodin preceded this email with a phone message stating that he appreciated our write-up, he agrees it is a good basis for our discussion with Ecology, and that he was going to have his HQ team draft the next layer of detail as to what a revised TMDL would look like.

I think we need to establish a meeting time with Ecology, yes?

From: Furtak, Sarah

Sent: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:46 PM

**To:** Croxton, Dave **Cc:** Goodin, John

Subject: prep for Ecology meeting on Pend Oreille

## \*DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE\* ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE\*

Hi Dave.

Thanks for providing the prep points ahead of a meeting with Ecology on the Pend Oreille. The meeting with Ecology is an important opportunity to get R10, WA, and the tribe on a common path forward.

We understand these would be EPA messaging points or talking points, but not for distribution to Ecology.

It would be useful for us to discuss this draft before talking with Ecology. Shall I work with our schedules to find a time for HQ-R10 to have this discussion next week?

D	oot.	
ப	ESI.	

Sarah

From: Croxton, Dave

Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 2:05 PM

**To:** Furtak, Sarah; Goodin, John; Keating, Jim; Curtin, James **Cc:** Cope, Ben; Owens, Kim; Rueda, Helen; Opalski, Dan

Subject: prep for Ecology Meeting on Pend Oreille

## CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED

Attached is a brief outline of what we could approach Ecology with regarding the Pend Oreille TMDL. This outline may not go as far as John had requested in describing a resolution, but we feel it does describe the appropriate next step in this process which is how to engage Ecology in the issues. Let us know your reactions/comments.

Thanks,

Dave